

Academic Program Review (10/10)

Chris Anderson, APRC Chair

Marilyn Barbour, Laura Beauvais, Ronald Jordon, Dana Kovarsky, Scott McWilliams, Henry Schwarzbach, David Smith, John Stevenson, Leon Thiem
Incoming: Paula McGlasson

- **Develop effective program review for URI**
 - Support strategic budgeting process
 - Align with the Academic Plan
 - Meet NEASC demand for “external perspective”
- **New Three-Part Process**
 1. **Narrative self-study on six-year cycle**
 - Assessed by visiting team of scholars from same discipline
 2. **Annual Central Data Report**
 - Centrally held data shared with department chairs
 3. **Biennial Program Data Report**
 - Gathers key data only available in departments

Six-Year Self Study Report

- Completed on 6 year cycle
- Use standard and idiosyncratic data to:
 - Retrospectively
 - Explain how activities support each element of mission
 - Explain how activities support college, university strategic plans
 - Evaluate performance against benchmarks from previous cycles
 - Prospectively
 - Align program strategic plan with those of college, university
 - Articulate responses to opportunities and challenges in each field
 - Establish forward-looking benchmarks
 - Allow faculty to express complexity and subtlety of their contributions
- External peer review with visiting team
- Allow thorough tracking and major planning on cycle comparable with the colleges and the university

Annual Central Data Report

- Delivered annually to programs, reporting data held by the institution
 - Credit hours (by X00 level, instructor type) and majors
 - Grant activity and research assistantships
 - Graduate applications and admissions
 - Benchmarking of SCH, load, research and instructional expenditures through Delaware study
- URI-public “dashboard” of key indicators
 - Build understanding of data
 - Support transparency through public set of common indicators
- Allow programs to track progress on goals on annual basis

Biennial Program Data Report

- Administered biennially to programs, reporting data held by the programs
 - Scholarly productivity and quality
 - Curricular and teaching quality and innovation
 - Extent and nature of public engagement
 - Placement and alumni information (to come)
 - Other measures important to programs
- Incorporate into IR-held database of common measures for transparency
 - Establish central data on all elements of mission
- Allow programs to track progress on goals on biennial basis, balance data quality with work

Current Timeline

- Visit Deans' meetings with Chairs to explain process-Done!
- Distribution of initial Annual Central Data Reports-next week!
 - Followed by public meetings to explain data and report
- Focus group of process and materials-Fall
 - Already done for Annual Central Data Reports
 - Upcoming groups for Biennial Program Data Reports and self-study procedures
- Initial Biennial Program Data Report (with feedback)
 - Distributed FA10 for return SP11
- Faculty senate vote on final guidelines SP11
- Initiate first round of self-studies
 - Select programs in FA10 for SP/SU11 studies, with SP12 review team visits